

Central Services Facility 6425 Huber Street Detroit, MI 48211 Customer Care: 313-267-8000 Emergencies: 313-267-7401 detroitmi.gov/dwsd

January 30, 2019

St. Albertus Church 4231 St. Aubin Detroit, MI 48207

Dear Mr. Robert Duda,

RE: Site Assessment/Engineering Analysis Report

Attached please find the "Engineering Analysis Report" for your site at 2050 East Canfield Street, Detroit, Michigan. This report completes the final step in the Site Assessment process. The report contains *suggested* green stormwater infrastructure practices (GSI) with possible locations, sizes, and potential credits associated with the practices. The report also includes a recommendation which is based on customer preferences, potential credit and return on investments (ROI). This analysis only offers suggestions of GSI to implement on your site and <u>is not a final design</u>.

We are pleased to announce that the Detroit Water and Sewerage Department (DWSD) has launched its Capital Partnership Program (CPP). This program provides up to \$5 million per year to non-residential customers who partner with DWSD by installing approved GSI projects. The CPP will reimburse non-residential customers up to 50 percent of the GSI capital costs that include professional design and construction costs, up to a maximum amount of \$50,000. GSI projects that are funded through the CPP will considerably improve the ROI.

We recommend that you apply for the CPP funding. Should you choose to apply, the attached engineering analysis report can be submitted with your CPP application, however, final design documents, signed and sealed by a licensed professional engineer, will be required to receive final approval.

The CPP application is available online at <u>www.detroitmi.gov/drainage</u> and is also attached for your convenience. We have also attached a sample CPP Agreement similar to one you will be required to sign should you be selected as a partner. If you have any questions, please contact Donald Riggs at (313) 267 - 8989 or email to drainage@detroitmi.gov.

Sincerely,

Lisa Wallick, PE

Manager-Stormwater Management Group

Attachment(s): 1. Engineering Analysis Report for St. Albertus Church – 2050 East Canfield Street

- 2. CPP Application Form
- 3. Sample CPP Agreement

St. Albertus Church ENGINEERING SITE ANALYSIS

2050 E Canfield Street Detroit, MI

January 8, 2019



Detroit Water and Sewerage Department 735 Randolph Street Detroit, MI 48226

2050 E Canfield Street | St. Albertus Church

Table of Contents

Existing Site and Building Conditions	3
ite Interview Findings	3
ield Investigations Findings	4
Analysis and Approach	6
Recommendations	7
Proposed Credits	7
Cost Estimates and Return on Investment	11
Other Considerations	13
mplementation	14
Appendices	16

Analysis Prepared by:



In association with:





Existing Site and Building Conditions

The Saint Albertus Church properties are located in the Forest Park neighborhood of Detroit. The properties are made up of 5 parcels, one of which (the largest parcel) houses 4 structures, the church, rectory, school building, and a garage. The second largest parcel holds the parking lot (north of Canfield). Remaining parcels are grass lots. The owner previously had a cost estimate done for potential rain gardens in their parking lot but the final cost was too high for them to implement. The specifics of the site and building are summarized in Table 1 & Table 2, respectively.

Site Location	West corner of Canfield and St. Aubin street				
Main Site Address	2050 East Canfield Street				
Parcels	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1,				
	09002240				
Site Size	2.89 acres				
Site Impervious Area ¹	2.05 acres				
DWSD Monthly Drainage Rate	\$598/acre impervious				
Current Monthly Drainage Charge	\$1,225.90/month (estimated)				
Natural Resources Conservation Service	ice 0.46 inches/hour				
(NRCS) Soil Conductivity Values ²					
Presence of Environmental Impairments	Known Contamination:	No			
(Noted from Michigan Department of	Leaking Underground Storage Tank (Active):	No			
Environmental Quality (MDEQ)	Leaking Underground Storage Tank (Closed):	No			
Database) ³	Underground Storage Tank (Active):	No			
	Underground Storage Tank (Closed):	No			

Table 1: Site Data

Table 2: Building Conditions

Number of Structures	Four (4)
Internal/External Roof Drainage	External
Finished or Unfinished Ceiling / Rafters	Finished

Site Interview Findings

The Project Team met with property owner's representatives and reviewed a standard questionnaire (see Appendix A for the meeting summary). Existing site conditions and overall project goals were discussed with the property representatives. A summary of this meeting can be seen in Table 3.

³ See Appendix C



¹ See Appendix D

² See Appendix I



Site	The parking lot north of Canfield provides enough spaces to meet the needs of the church. The lot is only used once in a while, mass is held once a month and they need 60 to 70 spaces. There is no excess pavement that can be removed. The driveway off St Aubin behind the rectory gets the most regular use, three people live there and 10 spaces are needed in this lot. The lawn areas on site are rarely used; picnics and festivals are hosted there a few times per year. The school
	owner was the Catholic Archdiocese, and this is causing come complications. The
Building	All of the buildings on this property, except for the garage, have a basement. Roof runoff is managed externally, through some connected downspouts and some disconnected downspouts. The owner has indicated that the school's downspouts and gutters have all been removed. The back portion of the school has a flat roof.
Infrastructure	Previously there was a school building standing in what is now a parking lot, the owner is not sure if the old foundation is still in place there or for the current buildings. There is an underground boiler tunnel between the church and school. Age of infrastructure on site was not given.
Design Options	The owner is familiar with green infrastructure and is interested in permeable pavers behind the rectory, and rain gardens. They have no upcoming plans to resurface any part of the site. At the rectory, there is a rain barrel that has yet to be hooked up to a downspout. Ponding would only be acceptable in rain garden areas. There may be an opportunity to share a practice with the neighboring produce company Joseph Frontera & Sons Inc., but whether or not they are interested in GSI is unknown.
Maintenance	All landscape maintenance is performed by volunteers, as the owner does not have a contractor. As practices are installed, volunteers will continue to work to offset the cost.
Property Owner Goals	The property owner's main goal is to reduce their bill. The church is currently run as a non-profit so there is concern over the capital cost of implementing new stormwater practices. The owner would like to know how much money can be saved by tearing down the old school versus building green infrastructure around it. Their ideal green infrastructure can be described as a beautiful showcase example.

Field Investigations Findings

The engineering investigation revealed the following key findings:

- 1. The downspouts are external; some are disconnected and a few are still connected. On the abandoned school building, some of the downspouts appear to be missing and some appear attached.
- 2. There is a catch basin in the rectory parking lot, though the owners report it is currently clogged. This could be retrofitted to direct runoff to a practice.
- 3. There is an underground boiler tunnel between the abandoned school building and the church building; GSI cannot be placed there. However, pavement between this tunnel and E Canfield St could be removed to make room for a detention/retention practice.



4. There is a catch basin in the church-owned parking lot across the street from the church. This catch basin could be retrofitted as a detention/retention practice.

Photographs documenting these conditions can be found in Appendix B.



Analysis and Approach

The subsequent sections of the report include narratives and technical detailing from a preliminary engineering analysis that has been performed for the project site. The engineering analysis was completed with the following objectives:

- Provide the greatest possible site drainage charge credit while obtaining a reasonable return on investment for the owner.
- Propose green stormwater infrastructure (GSI) that can be maintained by the property owner or volunteers.
- Remove unnecessary pavement to reduce overall site runoff.
- Utilize GSI practices in a manner which complements existing uses of the property and does not hinder future uses as defined by the current property owner.

The analysis detailed in the report have been created from GIS topography, field located downspouts, catch basins and manholes, as well as observed drainage patterns. The analysis also assumes soil infiltration rates from the most recent NRCS Web Soil Survey Data for the project. The site alterations and storm water practices detailed in this report represent a preliminary, conceptual engineering solution and are not intended as construction drawings.

Probable Cost of Construction and Maintenance

The cost estimates provided in this report are based on the project team's opinion of probable costs, which has been derived from similar projects completed in recent years. Construction estimates are intended to reflect costs for a property owner to secure a private contractor to construct the proposed improvements.

Similarly, maintenance costs for the GSI practices are based on typical values from best practice studies, which generally include contractor-performed maintenance. It should be noted that certain practices may require less frequent maintenance and in some cases, maintenance that can be self-performed by the property owner thereby reducing the cost and shortening the return on investment.

The property owner shall be aware that actual design, construction, and maintenance costs will affect the term for return on investment. Further, field conditions (ground elevations, presence of utilities, contaminated soils, and other unforeseen conditions) may affect the final number of credits for which the proposed GSI practices are eligible.



Recommendations

The first step in this analysis was to screen each of the potential GSI practices and determine which were the most suitable for implementation on the site. Table 4 highlights the screening process adopted by the team. It shows all of the practices considered, indicates whether each practice is proposed or not, and briefly comments on the rationale behind each decision.

GSI Practice	Proposed?	Rationale
Bioretention	Yes	This option is most frequently considered when a nearby
		connection to the sewer is not present. This is most
		appropriate for one area of the site.
Bioretention w/ Detention	Yes	Three bioretention with detention practices are proposed
		on site.
Cisterns	No	Cisterns were considered in the development of this
		report, however other options provided greater credit.
Disconnected Downspouts	No	While this site does have adequate greenspace to institute
		this practice, other practices present more credit.
Disconnected Impervious	Yes	While this site does have adequate greenspace to institute
		this practice, other practices present an opportunity to
		obtain more credit on most of the site. Disconnected
		impervious is recommended for a portion of the
		abandoned school building, as additional investment
		would be minimal.
Porous Pavers	No	While this practice was considered in the development of
		this report, there is adequate open space to institute other
		surface practices.
Remove Impervious Area	Yes	There are portions of impervious area throughout the site
		that can be removed.
Underground Detention	No	There exists an adequate amount of greenspace to create
		more efficient GSI.

Table 4: GSI Options Screening

For this project, four techniques were developed for this site with two goals in mind; to provide a reasonable return on investment, while obtaining the highest amount of credits possible (rate charge of \$598 per impervious acre per month assumed). Several types of GSI have been proposed, including bioretention with detention practices, bioretention, disconnected impervious, and removal of impervious area (this last option, though not technically a GSI practice, will lower the drainage charge). Overall credits and costs can be seen in Table 5 and Table 6, respectively. The proposed techniques are described below:

1. Impervious Area Removal

During the site investigation, it was noted that 60 – 70 parking spaces are needed in the parking lot. Removing some impervious area for implementation of a GSI practice still left space for 60 parking spaces plus an edge of parking lot that could be removed for additional savings, or used for an additional 8-10 short parking spaces. It was also noted that some of the impervious area



2050 E Canfield Street | St. Albertus Church

between the church building and the abandoned school building is not needed for parking and could be removed and replaced with a GSI practice.

2. Bioretention with Detention

Four areas of the site can be utilized for stormwater detention (peak flow reduction) and retention (volume reduction). This technique is illustrated in Figure 1. The proposed improvement will include between 18 and 30 inches of detention ponding followed by a layer of amended soil (topsoil, sand and compost) placed atop open graded stone⁴. The detention storage will hold water for up to 24 hours after a rain event while the amended soil and open graded stone layers below the restricted outlet will drain within 72 hours. The area can be restored with water tolerant native seed mixes and plug plantings.



Figure 1: Bioretention with Detention

Proposed Practice 1 is located in the middle of the parking lot parcel north on the northwest corner of Canfield and St Aubin St. It will intercept the runoff from the entire parking lot. Once within Practice 1, stormwater will discharge at a restricted rate to the DWSD sewer through the existing catch basin. Prior to implementation, it should be verified whether the catch basin in the parking lot connects to the DWSD sewer in the alley or in E Canfield St. If the catch basin connects to the alley, the GSI practice may need to be re-oriented and some of the parking spaces reconfigured.

Proposed Practice 2 is located in the west side of the main parcel, between the church and the abandoned school building. This practice will collect runoff from two quadrants of the church building roof, the remaining hard surface between the church building and the abandoned school, and the portion of the abandoned school building roof slanted toward the practice. Once within Practice 2, stormwater will discharge at a restricted rate to an existing DWSD sewer extending from under the abandoned school building to the road sewer. Downspouts from the back half of the church building should be redirected or disconnected and directed as needed to flow toward Practice 2.





Proposed Practice 4 is located on the lawn between the church and rectory. It will collect runoff from the southwest quadrant of the church roof; the northwest half of the rectory roof; the sidewalk in the yard; and the parking lot behind the rectory. As currently proposed, the statue in the lawn would need to be relocated, or perhaps be incorporated into a bioretention/detention basin in an artful manner. The practice is proposed to be connected to the existing catch basin in the rectory parking lot by a pipe directing flow to the practice until it is full, then backing up and spilling over a proposed weir in the catch basin and into the DWSD sewer through the existing connection. The catch basin and connection to the sewer should be cleaned to allow appropriate flow, as currently it is clogged. Downspouts on the southeast quadrant of the church building and the northwest half of the rectory should be redirected or disconnected and directed toward Practice 4.

3. Bioretention

One practice proposed on-site is not suitable for detention storage, and thus, will only provide stormwater retention credit. This practice will include a retention layer that consists of a layer of amended soil (topsoil, sand, and compost) placed above open graded stone. The retention layer will infiltrate surface water over a 24-hour period. The subsurface portions of the practices will be fully drained within 72 hours. These areas can be restored with water tolerant native seed mixed and plug plantings.

Proposed Practice 3 is located in the northwest corner of the church parcel. It will collect runoff primarily from the north quadrant of the church building and from some of the sidewalk on this side of the church building. Downspouts from the northeast quadrant of the church building should be redirected or disconnected and directed toward Practice 3. Practice 3 should be constructed so that when it fills up and overflows, it overland flows across the sidewalk to the road, where it will flow to the catch basins in the road.

4. Disconnected Impervious

The south wing of the abandoned school building appears to drain toward the woods behind it. Additional investigation should be done to verify this disconnection, as there could be abandoned manholes or catch basins hidden in the brush. However, there appears to be a wall along the front property line; if this is a solid wall and extends along the back of the school as well, it would hinder runoff from reaching manholes or catch basins on the other side of the wall. Finally, it would be good to verify that the roof is indeed preventing most or all of the rain from entering the building and sewer drains in the basement.

For more details on these practices, please refer to the Proposed Drainage Plan in Appendix F.



Proposed Credits

Table 5 provides an overview of the credit for the practices proposed on this site. The three types of practice proposed on this site are bioretention with detention, bioretention, and disconnected impervious. The total site credit generated by these practices represent the percentage by which the drainage charge will be reduced. Some auxiliary pavement removal has been recommended for the site. More detailed credit calculation for the practice can be found in Appendix G.

Table 5: Overall Credit Summary

		Total Site Credit	47.8%
Impervious Area (sft):	89298	Estimated Current Payment (per month):	\$1,225.90
Impervious Area Removal (sft):	6968	Est. Prop Payment w/ Imp Removal (per month):	\$1,130.24
New Impervious Area (sft):	82330	Est Prop. Payment w/ Credit (per month):	\$671.29

Practice Credit Summary

Impervious		Volume	Peak Flow	Practice	
Practice	Area (sft) Credit Calculation Method	Credit	Credit	Credit	Site Credit
Practice 1	22039 Bioretention/Detention	98.7%	90.4%	75.6%	20.2%
Practice 2	15706 Bioretention/Detention	86.1%	54.4%	56.2%	10.7%
Practice 3	6328 Bioretention	95.3%		38.1%	2.9%
Practice 4	14702 Bioretention/Detention	95.3%	49.7%	58.0%	10.4%
Practice 5	11536 Disconnected Impervious	62.9%		25.1%	3.5%



Cost Estimates and Return on Investment

Table 6 provides an overview of the estimated cost of the practice followed by the annual reduction in drainage charges. The individual practice and overall return on investment period of the practices is also included in the table. For an item by item breakdown of costs, see Appendix H.

Table 6: Summary of Cost and Return on Investment									
Estimated Annual Charge \$ 14,710.80 (per year):	FY Drainage Charge Assumed (per impervious acre per month):			\$ 598.00					
PRACTICE		COST	SAVIN	IGS PER YEAR	ROI * (YEARS)				
Practice 1 - Bioretention/Detention	\$	52,239.58	\$	2,883.17	18.1				
Practice 2 - Bioretention/Detention	\$	21,642.88	\$	1,804.24	12.0				
Practice 3 - Bioretention	\$	6,410.20	\$	337.02	19.0				
Practice 4 - Bioretention/Detention	\$	24,662.31	\$	1,153.50	21.4				
Practice 5 - Disconnected Impervious	\$	375.00	\$	477.41	0.8				

CONSTRUCTION COST: \$ 105,329.98

	Contracted		Self	Performed
	Maintenance		Ma	aintenance
ANNUAL MAINTENANCE COST:	\$	964.76	\$	-
SAVINGS PER YEAR:	\$	6,655.35	\$	7,620.11
ROI (YEARS):		22		19
NET PRESENT VALUE:	\$	735.85	\$	3,819.01





Figure 2: Drainage Cost Over Time

Note: Actual costs, ROI & NPV will vary based on actual design, owner preference and construction costs



Return-on-Investment and Net Present Value

Return on Investment (ROI) is a value representing the number of years it will take to receive a return (i.e., pay back) on the original construction cost. Figure 2 graphically represents the ROI showing the accumulated cost from the drainage charge over time for two scenarios:

- If no green infrastructure is installed and the drainage fee remains unchanged
- If all practices proposed in this analysis are installed.

The ROI occurs when these two lines cross. The separation between these two lines at any given point represents the savings possible by implementing GSI.

Net Present Value (NPV) is a tool to determine whether an investment will result in a net profit or a loss. A positive NPV represents profit over a set period of time and a negative NPV would represent a loss. The NPV is a measurement of "profit" (in the form of a drainage credit) calculated by subtracting the present values of cash outflow (including initial cost and maintenance) from the present values of cash inflow over a period of time. It compares the present value of money today to the present value of money in the future, taking 3% inflation into account for all returns.

After the cash flow for a set period of time is calculated, the present value of each one is achieved by discounting its future value at a periodic rate of return. Finally, the NPV is the sum of all the discounted future cash flows.

Table 6 includes the NPV both for scenarios where maintenance is performed contractually and where maintenance is self-performed at the point at which a return on investment (ROI) is achieved. These values represent the "profit" realized at the end of year by implementing the recommended practices.



Other Considerations

1. Abandoned School Building

The abandoned school building on the west side of the site has not been used in several years. If this building were to be demolished and replaced with pervious soil, there would be a significant drainage charge reduction. With the current drainage charge of \$598 per impervious acre per month, and the 20,053 square feet of the abandoned school building, removing this impervious area would lead to a direct reduction of approximately \$275.29 per month, or \$3,303.50 annually.

2. Practice 3 Possibilities

Practice 3 is proposed as a Bioretention practice rather than a Bioretention/Detention combination. This decision was made due to the distance to the DWSD sewer in the opposite lane of the street and the added cost of tapping that pipe. However, if more credit is desired, detention could be added with an outlet to the DWSD sewer in E Canfield St.

3. Rain Barrel at Rectory

The property owner reported that there is a rain barrel at the rectory but it is not connected. There is potential for cisterns or additional rain barrels to be added for detention credit. To receive peak flow credit, approximately 22 more standard 55-gallon rain barrels would be needed, or larger cisterns. Of course, rain barrels can still be helpful for collecting rain to use for garden or lawn irrigation even if they do not result in credits.

4. Integrate GSI with Neighboring Property

There may be an opportunity for a shared GSI practice on the southeast edge of the property, south of the rectory driveway, in the side yard of Joseph Frontera & Son. A practice in this location could serve the building of Joseph Frontera & Son as well as the driveway and portion of the roof of the rectory and provide additional credit for both property owners.



Implementation

1. Design

The solutions detailed within this report represent a conceptual engineered solution capable of obtaining the projected monthly drainage credits. The document is based off of as-built plans, GPS survey information, photographs and aerial survey data. To construct these improvements, the property owner is required to contract a licensed engineer to develop construction drawings for permits. As part of this, in situ site soil testing is encouraged to obtain an actual infiltration rate for design.

2. Permits

Permits will be required from Building, Safety Engineering and Environmental Department for proposed modifications to the plumbing (roof drainage) and for any site alterations.

3. DWSD Credit Application

The property owner shall complete a Drainage Credit Application once design is completed. The current form can be accessed at <u>www.detroitmi.gov/drainage</u>. This form captures applicant's final practice sizing as well as projected credits for this site.

4. Capital Partnership Program Assistance

The Capital Partnership Program provides an opportunity for non-residential property owners to receive funds to cover 50% of the funds required for the implementation of approved GSI (subject to DWSD discretion). Applicable costs include design, installation and capital costs up to a maximum of \$50,000. The current form can be accessed at www.detroitmi.gov/drainage. The following table shows the values specific to this analysis that are required for this document:

PRACTICE	TYPE OF GSI TECHNOLOGY	TOTAL IMPERVIOUS TRIBUTARY AREA (SFT)	TOTAL PROPOSED VOLUME MANAGED (CFT)	TO TOT VOLL	TAL PRACTICE COST/ FAL PROPOSED JME MANAGED (\$/gal)	TOTAL PRACTICE COST	AI MAIN	NNUAL ITENANCE COST
Practice 1	Detention Systems with Infiltration	22,039	8,888	\$	0.79	\$52,239.58	\$	486.00
Practice 2	Detention Systems with Infiltration	15,706	3,423	\$	0.77	\$19,642.88	\$	165.42
Practice 3	Rain Garden/Infiltration System	6,328	704	\$	1.22	\$ 6,410.20	\$	56.30
Practice 4	Detention Systems with Infiltration	14,702	3,772	\$	0.87	\$24,662.31	\$	257.04
Practice 5	Other	11,536	388	\$	0.13	\$ 375.00	\$	-

5. Construction

Construction of the proposed improvements should be performed by contractors familiar with the installation of green stormwater infrastructure. The property owner is encouraged to consult the DWSD starter guides located at <u>www.detroitmi.gov/drainage</u> for additional information on selecting a contractor and considerations for construction of specific practices.



DWSD must be contacted during construction to ensure that practices are constructed consistent with the approved design plans.

6. Maintenance

As part of final design, a maintenance plan shall be developed for the proposed GSI improvements. The maintenance plan shall conform to the aforementioned current DWSD guidance documents. The property owner needs to keep records of inspection and maintenance activities because the property owner must apply to renew credits every three (3) years. During the re-application process, maintenance records will be requested and reviewed by DWSD.



Appendices

Property Owner/Representative Interview Summary Appendix A
Summary of discussion between project team and property owner/representatives on site conditions, future plans and preferences for proposed green stormwater practices.
Site Photographs Appendix B
Photographs taken during the meeting with the property owner/representative.
Environmental Impairments GIS Map Appendix C
A map of the project site showing known locations of contamination and underground storage tank based on MDEQ database information.
Impervious GIS Map Appendix D
A map of the project site based on DWSD/SEMCOG aerial flight data showing impervious areas by type.
Existing Conditions Plan Appendix E
An existing conditions site plan showing the property, drainage features, contours, buildings, right of way lines and drainage areas.
Proposed Site Drainage Plan and Standard Details Appendix F
A site plan graphically depicting the recommended stormwater practices as well as proposed drainage infrastructure.
Credit Worksheets Appendix G
Detailed calculations listing managed impervious areas, target volumes for retention/detention practices
Detailed Cost Estimates Appendix H
Detailed cost estimates for proposed practices based on typical contractor pricing.
NRCS Soil Survey Appendix I
Information obtained from the NRCS web soil survey displaying estimated infiltration rates.
Existing Site Plans Appendix J
Any existing plans showing property lines, survey information, and current site layout.



APPENDIX A

Property Owner Interview Summary

Detroit Water and Sewerage Department

Engineering and Design Services for Stormwater Management Practices Property Owner Meeting Questionnaire



Property: St Albertus Church

Date: 11/20/18

SUMMARY

The following questionnaire is intended to assist the field teams in collecting consistent information on sites to be reviewed as part of Engineering and Design Services for Stormwater Management Practices. The questions are designed to help obtain information about the property owner's current operations and future visions for the property. The questions also attempt to ascertain the property owner's knowledge of green infrastructure, their willingness to commit land to its implementation and their personal preferences for landscaping treatments. Responses will be logged during on site meetings and included as an appendix to the final report.

INTRODUCTION TO GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

- What would best describe a successful project for you? Reduce bill. Church was closed in 1990 and has been operating as a secular non-profit ever since. Holds mass 1x/month. Worried most about capital costs – have already had a cost estimate done for a rain garden in the parking lot across the street, but the costs were too high for the church to move forward with implementation.
- 2. Do you have any excess pavement that can be removed? No – need the parking lot capacity, but only use it once in awhile. Need 60-70 spaces.
- 3. How familiar are you with green infrastructure? Pretty familiar city planner
 - Rain gardens Yes
 - Permeable pavers *Possibly behind rectory* Plans to resurface? *No* Pavement cross section? *Unknown*
 - Green Roofs Nø
 - Can building support the weight? Plans to replace the existing roof? Benefits other than stormwater?

- Cisterns (above/below ground) Have a rain barrel on site that hasn't been hooked up yet – at rectory downspout

Is below-ground expense justified by the site constraints? NoOpportunities for gray water reuse? NoAny gardening or irrigation areas? No

- 4. Assuming the same drainage credits, which description of green infrastructure fits with your goals? *Beautiful showcase example*
 - a. Beautiful showcase example
 - b. Low maintenance
 - c. Out-of-the-way
 - d. Invisible
 - e. Unique & creative

C:\Users\hslabaugh\Dropbox\DWSD Design for Storm Water Management\Task 2\Batch 10 (September 2018)\170 - St Albertus Church\2 - Site Investigation\Notes\Questionaire_StAlbertusChurch_112018.docx







SITE CONSTRAINTS

- 5. Where are your areas of highest use? How are these areas used? Driveway to parking lot on church parcel -3 people live here, need 10 spaces in this lot
- 6. Where are your areas of lowest use? Is any of this area paved? Lawn areas used for picnics and festivals, but only several times per year. The school is vacant & the church is trying to sell it but there are some complications because it used to be owned by the Catholic Archdiocese
- 7. Do you have any plans for expansion or site alterations proposed in any of these areas? *No, only stormwater retrofits. Would like to know how much they would save on their bill by tearing down the school versus building green infrastructure around the school.*
- 8. Would you be willing to give up any of these high use spaces for a larger drainage credit? Possibly
- 9. Is off-site or alternative parking available? Not sure if street parking is legal, currently use the lot only
- 10. Is there anywhere on your site where ponding would be acceptable?
 -Parking areas/Permeable paver areas No
 -Grass/lawn areas No
 -Sidewalks No
 -Rain garden areas Yes
- 11. Are you aware of any underground obstructions on your site? Not really have been different buildings unsure if foundations are still in place. Used to be a school on the parking lot.
 - -existing foundations -tanks -utilities
- 12. Are there any opportunities for shared green infrastructure practices? Possibly the produce company? A trench drain at the end of the parking lot could drain to a shared practice with the produce company. The church has a good relationship, with the produce company, but unsure if they are interested in GSI

SITE CONDITIONS

- 13. How is roof runoff managed?
 - Interior/exterior Church, rectory, and school all, external. School's gutters and downspouts have all been scrapped.
 - Connected/disconnected Some connected, some disconnected
- 14. Does the building have a basement? Yes, all 3 buildings have a basement
- 15. Are there any existing drainage issues on your site? *Drain clogged not connected in parking lot on church/rectory parcel*
- 16. How does circulation work on your site?
 -pedestrian Off St Aubin into parking lot
 -parking
 -entry Off St Aubin
 -exit



17. Would you be open to re-routing any circulation if you would receive a larger credit? *Possibly in parking lot across the street*

MAINTENANCE

18. Do you have a landscape contractor? No

-What services do they provide?

DISCUSSION OF GSI MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS

-How often do they come?

-How much do you pay for that service?

-What is an acceptable additional cost for maintenance of new green infrastructure?

-Do you have any social capital or volunteer opportunities that could help offset these costs? Yes,

all landscaping currently done by volunteers

NEXT STEPS

- 19. Report contents
 - -Design including lowest cost/highest credit option for your site

-Estimated construction costs

-Estimated Drainage Credit

-Estimated ROI – Is there a ROI timeframe that you already have in mind?

20. CPP 50/50 Match Grant

Detroit Water and Sewerage Department Engineering and Design Services

for Stormwater Management Practices

Property Owner Meeting Sign In Sheet

Property: St Albertus Church

OF1 :ON dol

Time: 10% AM

Location: 2050 E Cenfuld

Date: 11/20/18

	age		
	ver		
	Sei	E E	
	er &		
	Vat	ep	
		-	
5		F	
55		^b O	
and the	C'T'	ET	
			

Vame	Title	Company	Phone	E-Mail -	1
NOREN HORFMAN	landscepe achitect	living LAB	313 974 7602	lauren elwinglabdetroit.com	
rathrew Baka	Pses: deut	Polish American Historic Site Assc	145 341	albertus 1884 @gmail.com	
Ware Rose	wes	Lintio	248 294 4673	unde. 1000 @ chan - a chisor. com	
C:Ubersit auren Hoffman/Dropbox (livingLAB))IDWSD Design for Storm Water Managemen	NTask 11Site Investigation Forms/SignIn do	ŏ		
1 of 62	dvisors inc.	3		In association with:	



OHM Advisors Inc. 1234 WASHINGTON BLVD, SUITE 600 DETROIT, MI 48226 T. 313.481.1250 I OHM-advisors.com



APPENDIX

APPENDIX B

Site Photographs

Site Photos

Page 1 of 7





Image 1: The church-owned parking lot across from the church building. Practice 1 is proposed surrounding the existing catch basin in the center of the lot, to intercept runoff form the parking lot.



OHM Advisors Inc. 1145 GRISWOLD ST, SUITE 200 In association with:



Page 2 of 7





Image 2: The west side of the abandoned school building. Some downspouts appear to be missing (such as the one in the foreground) and some still look connected (background). The runoff from this portion of the building would be directed to proposed Practice 2.

Site Photos

Page 3 of 7





Image 3: The church building from the north corner of the church building property, looking down E Canfield Street. Practice 3 is proposed between the fence and the church building and would intercept runoff from the visible portions of the church roof. Page 4 of 7





Image 4: Looking away from the church property past E Canfield St side of the abandoned church building. Some pavement removal is recommended here between the sidewalk and the steps of the building. Page 5 of 7





Image 5: The southeast side of the church has several downspouts; some are disconnected and some may be connected. These would be directed to proposed Practice 4.

Page 6 of 7





Image 6: View into the back of the abandoned school building. The garage is on the left, other structures in the background. Practice 5 proposes the south half of the abandoned school building as disconnected impervious due to the impervious area behind it.

Page 7 of 7





Image 7: Disconnected downspouts and connected downspouts are both found on the property, as shown here on the rectory. If a shared practice was implemented with Joseph Frontera & Son, it could be located to the right of the pictured driveway.

APPENDIX C

Environmental Impairments GIS Map







APPENDIX

APPENDIX D

Existing Impervious GIS Map





N

Source: Data provided by the GIS Department at OHM Advisors. OHM Advisors does not warrant the accuracy of the data and/or the map. This document is intended to depict the approximate spatial location of the mapped features within the Community and all use is strictly at the user's own risk.

Coordinate System: WGS 1984 Web Mercator Auxiliary Sphere

Map Published: October 26, 2018



APPENDIX

APPENDIX E

Existing Conditions Plan



00010_GL_Site_Evaluations_(CS_1830))_C/wil/Sites/Batch 10 (Septimeber 2018)/170 - St Albertus Church - 2050 E. Carrifeld/CAD/2050_E_CANFIELD_recover.dwg Jan

 GRAPHIC SCALE:
 1 inch =
 40 feet

 0
 20
 40
 80
 1



EXISTING DRA	NAGE AREA SUM	IMARY
	DRAINAGE	IMPERVIOUS
DRAINAGE AREA	AREA (SFT)	AREA (SFT)
1	36570	25860
2	6192	2423
3	5119	5119
4	5128	5128
5	12876	3690
6	1819	1819
7	2013	2013
8	7322	5884
9	807	807
10	8003	1986
11	11546	11546
12	2878	195
13	4339	4339
14	4168	4168
15	10652	8451
16	3124	3124
17	3110	3110
Total (sft.)	125666	89662
Total (acres)	2.88	2.05

DO	NNSPOL	JT DISCON	VECTION L	EGEND
	EASY	MODERATE	DIFFICULT	DISCONNECTED
EXTERNAL	Ε	E	E	E
INTERNAL	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι

<u>LEGEND</u>

STORM CATCH BASIN COMBINED CATCH BASIN DOWNSPOUT DRAINAGE BOUNDARY ELEVATION CONTOUR PARCEL BOUNDARY





	DATE PF	ROJ NUMBER	ENG	PROJ MGR	CADD	COUNTY	CITY/MLLAGE/TOWNSHIP	SCALE	HORIZ DATUM VERT DATUM	REVISIONS:	1	-	
	1/2/2019 0	0061-17-0010	SDH	DMD	ALL	WAYNE	CITY OF DETROIT	H: 1*=40' V:	Value Value			ARC 11	ſ
	DETR	OIT W/	VTER &	SEWER/	AGE DE	PARTM	ENT			1 1		CHITECTS I 145 GRIS Detro P (31 OHM-AI)H
	GI SITI	E EVAL	UATIO	NS						1 1		ENGINEEF WOLD, pit, MI 48 13) 481- DVISOR	
	2050 E	E CANF	IELD -	EXISTIN	G CON	SITIONS				1 1	ULTANTS MON NTE + research * research to research * research * research	RS PLANNE SUITE 20 8226 1250 S.COM	
												© RS 0	
ס 	DPYRIGHT 2017	OHM ALL DRA	VINGS AND WE	RITTEN MATERIALS /	APPEARING HEF	REIN CONSTITUTE	THE ORIGINAL AND LINPUBLISH.	ED WORK OF OHM AND THE SAME MAY	Y NOT BE DUPLICATED DISTRIBUTED OR DIS	SCLOSED WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF OHM			

APPENDIX F

Proposed Site Drainage Plan and Standard Details







PROPOSED DR#	AINAGE AREA SUMMARY				
	DRAINAGE	IMPERVIOUS			
DRAINAGE AREA	AREA (SFT)	AREA (SFT)			
1	36570	25860			
2	4 979	1209			
3	5119	5119			
4	5128	5128			
5	972 4	1562			
6	1 819	1 819			
7	2013	2013			
8	7322	5884			
9	807	807			
10	8003	1986			
11	11546	11546			
12	2878	195			
13	4339	4339			
14	4168	4168			
15	10652	5949			
16	3124	3124			
17	3110	3110			
18	1213	1213			
19	3152	2128			
Total (sft.)	125666	87159			
Total (acres)	2.88	2.00			





Ħ



Know what's below. Call before you dig.







APPENDIX

APPENDIX G

Credit Worksheets

Bioretention/Detention

Date: 01/02/19 Last updated by: HDS

Site Address:	2050 E Canfield				
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church				
Site Description:	Church, rectory, empty school building, garage, and parking lot				
Parcel Number(s):	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240				
Total Site Area (acres):	2.89	Total Site Area (sft): 125,888			
Site Impervious Area (acres):	2.05	Ex Impervious Area (sft): 89,298			
Number of Practices:	5	New Impervious Area (sft) 82,330			
<u>Areas</u>		<u>C Value</u> <u>Notes</u>			
Total Proposed Drainage Area	25860 ft ²	drainage area 1			
Pavement/Sidewalk Area	25860 ft ²	0.95			
Rooftop Area	0 ft ²	0.95			
Misc	0 ft ²				
Permenant Pavement Removal	3821 ft ²				
		-			
Pervious Area	0 ft ²				
Total Impervious Area	22039 ft ²	0.95			
New Pervious Area	3821 ft ²	0.3 C value based on soil type			
	Retention Pond				
	2	<u>Notes</u>			
	- /				
Practice Area (PA)	2700 ft ²				
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr				
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2)	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr				
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs				
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Batantian Valuma	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 2487 5 ft ³	- -			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Bainfall Depth	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³	- - - -			
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall Depth	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in	- - - - -			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in	Surface Storage 5 inches			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in 16.6 in	Surface Storage 5 inches			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in 16.6 in 5 in	Soil Surface Storage 5 inches 0.25			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in 16.6 in 16.6 in 5 in 10 in	Soil Surface Storage 5 inches Porosity 10 inches Porosity 20 inches			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in 16.6 in 5 in 10 in 20 in	SoilSurface Storage5 inchesSoil0.2510 inchesAggregate0.420 inches			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth Calculated EWD	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in 16.6 in 10 in 20 in 15.5 in	SoilSurface Storage Porosity 0.255 inches 10 inches 20 inchesAggregate0.4			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth Calculated EWD	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in 16.6 in 5 in 10 in 20 in 15.5 in	SoilSurface Storage5 inchesSoilPorosity10 inches0.25Porosity20 inchesAggregate0.40.4			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth Calculated EWD	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in 16.6 in 5 in 10 in 20 in 15.5 in	SoilSurface Storage Porosity 0.255 inches 10 inches 20 inchesAggregate0.4			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth Calculated EWD	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in 16.6 in 5 in 10 in 20 in 15.5 in Detention Pond	Soil Soil Soil O.25 Aggregate 0.4 Soil O.25			
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth Calculated EWD	2700 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 3487.5 ft ³ 1.9 in 16.6 in 5 in 10 in 20 in 15.5 in Detention Pond	Soil Soil Soil O.25 Aggregate O.4 Soil Notes			

A: Tributary area to the detention practice area	
	0.51 acres
C: Combined Rational Coefficient (omit if >75% impervious)	
	1.00
Q _r : Peak allowable discharge rate for the 100 year storm	
event	0.15 cfs/acre

Bioretention/Detention

Date: 01/02/19 Last updated by: HDS

Site Address:	2050 E Canfie	eld			
Site Owner:	St Albertus Cl	hurch			
Site Description:	Church, rectory, empty school building, garage, and parking lot				
Parcel Number(s):	09004940-2,	09004938, 090049	939, 0900224	41-1, 09002240	
Total Site Area (acres):		2.89	T	otal Site Area (sft):	125,888
Site Impervious Area (acres):		2.05	Ex Imp	ervious Area (sft):	89,298
Number of Practices:		5	New Im	pervious Area (sft)	82,330
Areas			<u>C Value</u>	<u>Notes</u>	
Total Proposed Drainage Area		25860 ft ²		drainage area 1	
Pavement/Sidewalk Area		25860 ft ²	0.95		
D: Critical Storm Duration					
		323.29	min	_	
t: Recurrence interval		2	years		
		100	years	_	
I: Rainfall intensity (2 years)		0.33	in/hr		
Rainfall intensity (100 years)		0.75	in/hr	_	
V ₂ : Required detention volume for a 2 ye	ear event	1828	ft ³		
V_{100} : Required detention volume for a 10	00 year event	5976	ft ³		
Vprovided		5400	ft ³	24	inches detention

75.6%
98.7%
90.4%



Bioretention/Detention

Date: 01/02/19 -----

		Last updat	ed by: HDS			
Site Address:	2050 E Canfield					
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church	St Albertus Church				
Site Description:	Church, rectory, empty school b	ouilding, garage, and parking lot				
Parcel Number(s):	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240					
Total Site Area (acres):	2.89	Total Site Area (sft):	125,888			
Site Impervious Area (acres):	2.05	Ex Impervious Area (sft):	89,298			
Number of Practices:	5	New Impervious Area (sft)	82,330			
Areas		<u>C Value</u> <u>Notes</u>				
Total Proposed Drainage Area	21054 ft ²	drainage area 14,	15, 16, 17			
Pavement/Sidewalk Area	8451 ft ²	0.95				
Rooftop Area	10402 ft ²	0.95				
Misc	0 ft ²					
Permenant Pavement Removal	3147 ft ²					
Pervious Area	2201 ft ²					
Total Impervious Area	15706 ft ²	0.95				
New Pervious Area	5348 ft ²	0.3 C value based on s	soil type			
	Retention Pond	Notos				
Dractice Area (DA)	010 ft^2	<u>Notes</u>				
Infiltration Rate	0.46 ip/br					
Infiltration Pate (E.S. 2)	0.23 in/hr					
Drain Time	72 hrs					
Equivalent Water Denth	16.6 in					
Retention Volume	1125.8 ft ³					
Equivalent Rainfall Depth	0.9 in					

Retention EWD	
Equivalent Water Depth Maximum	16.6 in
Surface Storage	5 in
Soil Depth	10 in
Aggregate Depth	18 in
Calculated EWD	14.7 in

	Surface Storage	5 inches
	Porosity	
Soil	0.25	10 inches
Aggragata	Porosity	10 inchas
Aggregate	0.4	18 incres

Detention Pond				
List of Variables				
A: Tributary area to the detention practice area				
	0.48 acres			
C: Combined Rational Coefficient (omit if >75% impervious)				
	0.78			
Q _r : Peak allowable discharge rate for the 100 year storm				
event	0.15 cfs/acre			

Bioretention/Detention

Date: 01/02/19 Last updated by: HDS

Site Address:	2050 E Canfie	ld				
Site Owner:	St Albertus Ch					
Site Description:	Church, rectory, empty school building, garage, and parking lot					
Parcel Number(s):	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240					
Total Site Area (acres):		2.89	Т	otal Site Area (sft):	125,888	
Site Impervious Area (acres):		2.05	Ex Imp	pervious Area (sft):	89,298	
Number of Practices:		5	New Im	pervious Area (sft)	82,330	
<u>Areas</u>			<u>C Value</u>	<u>Notes</u>		
Total Proposed Drainage Area		21054 ft ²		drainage area 14, .	15, 16, 17	
Pavement/Sidewalk Area		8451 ft ²	0.95	5		
				_		
D: Critical Storm Duration						
		254.73	min	_		
t: Recurrence interval		2	years			
		100	years	_		
I: Rainfall intensity (2 years)		0.40	in/hr			
Rainfall intensity (100 years)		0.91	in/hr	_		
V_2 : Required detention volume for a 2 y	ear event	1254	ft ³	_		
$V_{100}\!\!:$ Required detention volume for a 1	00 year event	4223	ft ³			
V _{provided}		2298	ft ³	30	inches detention	

56.2%
86.1%
00 10/
54.4%



Practice #3 Bioretention

Date: 01/02/19 Last updated by: HDS

Site Address:	2050 E Canfield						
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church						
Site Description:	Church, rectory, empty school building, garage, and parking lot						
Parcel Number(s):	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240						
Total Site Area (acres):	2.89 Total Site Area (sft): 125,888						
Site Impervious Area (acres):	2.05Ex Impervious Area (sft):89,298						
Number of Practices:	5	New Impervious Area (sft) 82,330					
<u>Areas</u>		<u>C Value</u> <u>Notes</u>					
Total Proposed Drainage Area	10098 ft ²	drainage area 1					
Pavement/Sidewalk Area	1209 ft ²	0.95					
Rooftop Area	5119 ft ²	0.95					
Misc	0 ft ²						
Permenant Pavement Removal	0 ft ²						
Pervious Area	3770 ft ²						
Total Impervious Area	6328 ft ²	0.95					
New Pervious Area	3770 ft ²	0.3 C value based on soil type					
	Retention Pond	.					
		Notes					
Practice Area (PA)	563 IL						
Infiltration Data	0.16 m/br						
Infiltration Rate	0.46 in/hr						
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2)	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs						
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in						
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 703.8 ft ³						
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 703.8 ft ³ 1.3 in						
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 703.8 ft ³ 1.3 in						
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 703.8 ft ³ 1.3 in	Surface Storage 5 inches					
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 703.8 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in	Soil Surface Storage 5 inches 8 inches					
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 703.8 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 5 in	Soil Surface Storage 5 inches 8 inches 9 0.25					
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 703.8 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 5 in 8 in	Soil Surface Storage 5 inches Porosity 8 inches Porosity 20 inches					
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 703.8 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 5 in 8 in 20 in	SoilSurface Storage5 inchesSoilPorosity8 inchesAggregate0.420 inches					
Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth Calculated EWD	0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 703.8 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 16.6 in 5 in 8 in 20 in 15.0 in	SoilSurface Storage5 inchesSoilPorosity8 inchesAggregate0.420 inches					

Site Credit	2.9%
Practice Credit	38.1%
Volume Credit	95.3%

Bioretention/Detention

Date: 01/02/19 Last updated by: HDS

Site Address:	2050 E Canfield						
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church						
Site Description:	Church, rectory, empty school building, garage, and parking lot						
Parcel Number(s):	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240						
Total Site Area (acres):	2.89 Total Site Area (sft): 125,888						
Site Impervious Area (acres):	2.05	Ex Impervious Area (sft): 89,298					
Number of Practices:	5	New Impervious Area (sft) 82,330					
Areas		<u>C Value</u> <u>Notes</u>					
Total Proposed Drainage Area	27145 ft ²	drainage area 5, 4, 8					
Pavement/Sidewalk Area	9292 ft ²	0.95					
Rooftop Area	5128 ft ²	0.95					
Misc	282 ft ²						
Permenant Pavement Removal	0 ft ²						
		-					
Pervious Area	12443 ft ²						
Total Impervious Area	14702 ft ²	0.95					
New Pervious Area	12443 ft ² 0.3 C value based on soil type						
	Retention Pond						
	2	<u>Notes</u>					
Practice Area (PA)	1428 ft ²	<u>Notes</u>					
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr	<u>Notes</u>					
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2)	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr	<u>Notes</u>					
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs	<u>Notes</u>					
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in	<u>Notes</u>					
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³	<u>Notes</u>					
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall Depth	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³ 1.3 in	<u>Notes</u>					
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall DepthRetention EWD	1428 ft² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft³ 1.3 in	Notes					
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall DepthRetention EWDEquivalent Water Depth Maximum	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in	Notes Surface Storage 5 inches					
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall DepthRetention EWDEquivalent Water Depth MaximumSurface Storage	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 16.6 in 5 in	Notes Notes Soil Surface Storage 5 inches 0.25 6 inches					
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall DepthRetention EWDEquivalent Water Depth MaximumSurface StorageSoil Depth	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 5 in 6 in	Notes Notes Surface Storage 5 inches Soil Porosity 0.25 Porosity 10 inches					
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall DepthRetention EWDEquivalent Water Depth MaximumSurface StorageSoil DepthAggregate Depth	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 5 in 6 in 18 in	NotesNotesSurface StorageSoilPorosity0.25Aggregate0.4					
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall DepthRetention EWDEquivalent Water Depth MaximumSurface StorageSoil DepthAggregate DepthCalculated EWD	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 5 in 6 in 18 in 13.7 in	NotesNotesSurface StorageSoilPorosity0.25Aggregate0.4					
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall DepthRetention EWDEquivalent Water Depth MaximumSurface StorageSoil DepthAggregate DepthCalculated EWD	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 5 in 6 in 18 in 13.7 in	NotesSoilSurface Storage5 inchesPorosity6 inchesAggregatePorosity18 inches					
Practice Area (PA)Infiltration RateInfiltration Rate (F.S. 2)Drain TimeEquivalent Water DepthRetention VolumeEquivalent Rainfall DepthRetention EWDEquivalent Water Depth MaximumSurface StorageSoil DepthAggregate DepthCalculated EWD	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 5 in 6 in 18 in 13.7 in	Notes Notes Soil Soil O.25 Aggregate O.4 Soil O.25 Soil Porosity 0.4 Soil Notes Soil Porosity 18 inches					
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth Calculated EWD	1428 ft ² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft ³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 16.6 in 18 in 13.7 in Detention Pond	Notes Notes Soil Soil O.25 Aggregate O.4 Soil O.25 Soil					
Practice Area (PA) Infiltration Rate Infiltration Rate (F.S. 2) Drain Time Equivalent Water Depth Retention Volume Equivalent Rainfall Depth Retention EWD Equivalent Water Depth Maximum Surface Storage Soil Depth Aggregate Depth Calculated EWD	1428 ft² 0.46 in/hr 0.23 in/hr 72 hrs 16.6 in 1630.3 ft³ 1.3 in 16.6 in 16.6 in 18 in 13.7 in	Notes Notes Soil Soil O.25 Aggregate O.4 Soil O.25 Notes					

A: Tributary area to the detention practice area	
	0.62 acres
C: Combined Rational Coefficient (omit if >75% impervious)	
	0.65
Q _r : Peak allowable discharge rate for the 100 year storm	
event	0.15 cfs/acre

Bioretention/Detention

Date: 01/02/19 Last updated by: HDS

Site Address:	2050 E Canfiel	ld					
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church						
Site Description:	Church, rectory, empty school building, garage, and parking lot						
Parcel Number(s):	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240						
Total Site Area (acres):		2.89	T	otal Site Area (sft):	125,888		
Site Impervious Area (acres):		2.05	Ex Imp	pervious Area (sft):	89,298		
Number of Practices:		5	New Im	pervious Area (sft)	82,330		
<u>Areas</u>			<u>C Value</u>	<u>Notes</u>			
Total Proposed Drainage Area		27145 ft ²		drainage area 5, 4,	8		
Pavement/Sidewalk Area		9292 ft ²	0.95	5			
D: Critical Storm Duration							
		212.2	5 min	_			
t: Recurrence interval			2 years				
		10	0 years	_			
I: Rainfall intensity (2 years)		0.4	7 in/hr				
Rainfall intensity (100 years)		1.0	5 in/hr	_			
V ₂ : Required detention volume for a 2 ye	ear event	124	8 ft^3				
V_{100} : Required detention volume for a 10	00 year event	431	3 ft ³				
V _{provided}		214	2 ft^3	18	inches detention		

Site Credit	10.4%
Practice Credit	58.0%
Volume Credit	95.3%
Peak Flow Credit	49.7%



Disconnected Impervious

Date: 01/02/19

Last updated by: HDS

Site Address:	2050 E Can	field					
Site Owner:	St Albertus	St Albertus Church					
Site Description:	Church, rectory, empty school building, garage, and parking lot						
Parcel Number(s):	09004940-2	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240					
Total Site Area (acres):	2.89	2.89 Total Site Area (sft): 125,888					
Site Impervious Area (acres):	2.05		Ex Impervious Area (sft):	89,298			
Number of Practices:	5		New Impervious Area (sft)	82,330			
Permenant Pavement Removal		0 sft					
			=				
Managed Impervious Area			<u>Notes</u>				
Gravel Edge/Other Transition	No						
Length	51.5	ft					
Width	224	ft					
Total Impervious Area	11536	ft ²	_ less the pavement removal				
Practice Area							
Flow Length	26	ft					
Width	224	ft					
Practice Area	5824	ft ²	-				
		-	-				
Practice Ratio	0.5048543	69					
			-				
Volume Credi	t 62.9	9%	For this Drainage Area only				
Practice Credit	t 25.:	1%	For this Drainage Area only				

Site Credit 3.52% For this Drainage Area only

APPENDIX

APPENDIX H

Detailed Cost Estimates

Practice 1 Estimate

Date: January 8, 2019

Site Address:	2050 E Canfield				
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church				
Parcel ID:	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240				
Practice Type	Bioretention/Detent	ion			
Practice Number:	1	Site Credit 20.2%			
Practice Area:	2700	sft			

DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	COST
Earth Excavation, Haul Off	Cyd	492	\$ 35.00	\$ 17,208.33
HMA Surface, Rem	Syd	300	\$ 6.75	\$ 2,025.00
Aggregate Base, 6A	Cyd	167	\$ 50.00	\$ 8,333.33
Bioretention Soil	Cyd	83	\$ 27.00	\$ 2,250.00
Bioretention Plants	Sft	675	\$ 3.00	\$ 2,025.00
Bioretention Seeding	Sft	2700	\$ 0.75	\$ 2,025.00
Geotextile Fabric	Syd	300	\$ 1.25	\$ 375.00
Curb and Gutter, Conc, Det F4	Ft	210	\$ 30.00	\$ 6,300.00
Existing Catch Basin Retrofit	Ea	1	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00
Pavt Mrkg, Parking Stalls	Ft	1220	\$ 0.50	\$ 610.00
Spillway	Syd	4	\$ 35.00	\$ 140.00

PRACTICE COST =	\$ 41,791.67
ENGINEERING =	15%
CONTINGENCY =	10%
TOTAL PRACTICE COST =	\$ 52,239.58
ANNUAL MAINTENANCE COST =	\$ 486.00
SAVINGS PER YEAR =	\$ 2,883.17
ROI (YEARS) =	18.1
SAVINGS PER YEAR - SELF-PERFORMED MAINTENANCE =	\$ 3,369.17
ROI (YEARS) - SELF-PERFORMED MAINTENANCE=	15.5

Practice 2 Estimate

Date: January 8, 2019

Site Address:	2050 E Canfield					
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church					
Parcel ID:	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240					
Practice Type	Bioretention/Detent	tion				
Practice Number:	2	Site Credit 10.7%				
Practice Area:	919	sft				

DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	COST
Earth Excavation, Haul Off	Cyd	179	\$ 35.00	\$ 6,254.31
HMA Surface, Rem	Syd	350	\$ 6.75	\$ 2,360.25
Aggregate Base, 6A	Cyd	51	\$ 50.00	\$ 2,552.78
Bioretention Soil	Cyd	28	\$ 27.00	\$ 765.83
Bioretention Plants	Sft	230	\$ 3.00	\$ 689.25
Bioretention Seeding	Sft	919	\$ 0.75	\$ 689.25
Geotextile Fabric	Syd	102	\$ 1.25	\$ 127.64
Sewer, Cl A, 6 inch, Tr Det B	Ft	25	\$ 35.00	\$ 875.00
Sewer Tap	Ea	1	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
Outlet Control Structure	Ea	1	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00
Downspout Relocation	Ea	5	\$ 100.00	\$ 500.00

PRACTICE COST =	\$ 17,314.31
ENGINEERING =	15%
CONTINGENCY =	10%
TOTAL PRACTICE COST =	\$ 21,642.88
ANNUAL MAINTENANCE COST =	\$ 165.42
SAVINGS PER YEAR =	\$ 1,804.24
ROI (YEARS) =	12.0
SAVINGS PER YEAR - SELF-PERFORMED MAINTENANCE =	\$ 1,969.66
ROI (YEARS) - SELF-PERFORMED MAINTENANCE=	11.0

Practice 3 Estimate

Date: January 8, 2019

Site Address:	2050 E Canfield					
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church					
Parcel ID:	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240					
Practice Type	Bioretention					
Practice Number:	3	Site Credit 2.9%				
Practice Area:	563	sft				

DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	COST
Earth Excavation, Haul Off	Cyd	0	\$ 35.00	\$ -
Aggregate Base, 6A	Cyd	57	\$ 50.00	\$ 2,867.13
Bioretention Soil	Cyd	35	\$ 27.00	\$ 938.33
Bioretention Plants	Sft	141	\$ 3.00	\$ 422.25
Bioretention Seeding	Sft	563	\$ 0.75	\$ 422.25
Geotextile Fabric	Syd	63	\$ 1.25	\$ 78.19
Downspout Relocation	Ea	4	\$ 100.00	\$ 400.00

PRACTICE COST =	\$ 5,128.16
ENGINEERING =	15%
CONTINGENCY =	10%
TOTAL PRACTICE COST =	\$ 6,410.20
ANNUAL MAINTENANCE COST =	\$ 56.30
SAVINGS PER YEAR =	\$ 337.02
ROI (YEARS) =	19.0
SAVINGS PER YEAR - SELF-PERFORMED MAINTENANCE =	\$ 393.32
ROI (YEARS) - SELF-PERFORMED MAINTENANCE=	16.3

Practice 4 Estimate

Date: January 8, 2019

Site Address:	2050 E Canfield					
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church					
Parcel ID:	09004940-2, 09004938, 09004939, 09002241-1, 09002240					
Practice Type	Bioretention/Detent	ion				
Practice Number:	4	Site Credit 10.4%				
Practice Area:	1428	sft				

DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	COST
Earth Excavation, Haul Off	Cyd	207	\$ 35.00	\$ 7,250.19
Conc Pavement, Rem	Syd	13	\$ 12.00	\$ 152.00
Aggregate Base, 6A	Cyd	79	\$ 50.00	\$ 3,966.67
Bioretention Soil	Cyd	26	\$ 27.00	\$ 714.00
Bioretention Plants	Sft	357	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,071.00
Bioretention Seeding	Sft	1428	\$ 0.75	\$ 1,071.00
Geotextile Fabric	Syd	159	\$ 1.25	\$ 198.33
Outlet Control Structure	Ea	1	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00
Sewer, Cl A, 6 inch, Tr Det B	Ft	98	\$ 35.00	\$ 3,430.00
Downspout Relocation	Ea	3	\$ 100.00	\$ 300.00
Concrete Pavement	Syd	13	\$ 85.00	\$ 1,076.67

PRACTICE COST =	\$ 19,729.85
ENGINEERING =	15%
CONTINGENCY =	10%
TOTAL PRACTICE COST =	\$ 24,662.31
ANNUAL MAINTENANCE COST =	\$ 257.04
SAVINGS PER YEAR =	\$ 1,153.50
ROI (YEARS) =	21.4
SAVINGS PER YEAR - SELF-PERFORMED MAINTENANCE =	\$ 1,410.54
ROI (YEARS) - SELF-PERFORMED MAINTENANCE=	17.5

Practice 5 Estimate

				Date	: Janua	iry 8, 2019
				Prepared by	: HDS	
Site Address:	2050 E Canfield					
Site Owner:	St Albertus Church					
Parcel ID:	09004940-2, 090049	938, 09004939, 09	9002241-1,	09002240		
Practice Type	Disconnected Imper	vious				
Practice Number:	5			Site Credi	t <mark>3.5%</mark>	
Practice Area:	3862.5	sft				
DESCRIPTION		UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRIC	E	COST
Downspout Relocatio	on	Ea	3	\$ 100.00	\$	300.00
				PRACTICE COST =	: \$	300.00
				ENGINEERING =		15%
				CONTINGENCY =	:	10%
			TOTAL	PRACTICE COST =	\$	375.00
		AN	NUAL MAIN	NTENANCE COST =	\$	-
			SA	VINGS PER YEAR =	:\$	477.41
				ROI (YEARS) =	:	0.8
	SAVINGS I	PER YEAR - SELF-P	ERFORMED	MAINTENANCE =	\$	477.41
	RC)I (YEARS) - SELF-I	PERFORME	D MAINTENANCE=		0.8

APPENDIX

APPENDIX I

NRCS Soil Survey



National Cooperative Soil Survey

Conservation Service

10/26/270168e 57 of 62 Page 1 of 3



Saturated Hydra	aulic Conducti	vity (Ksat)
-----------------	----------------	-------------

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (micrometers per second)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
ShbuaB	Shebeon-Urban land complex, 0 to 4 percent slopes	3.2247	8.0	94.8%
UrbarB	Urban land-Riverfront complex, dense substratum, 0 to 4 percent slopes	0.0050	0.4	5.2%
Totals for Area of Intere	est		8.4	100.0%

Description

Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) refers to the ease with which pores in a saturated soil transmit water. The estimates are expressed in terms of micrometers per second. They are based on soil characteristics observed in the field, particularly structure, porosity, and texture. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is considered in the design of soil drainage systems and septic tank absorption fields.

For each soil layer, this attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

The numeric Ksat values have been grouped according to standard Ksat class limits.

Rating Options

Units of Measure: micrometers per second

Aggregation Method: Dominant Component

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Fastest

Interpret Nulls as Zero: No

Layer Options (Horizon Aggregation Method): Depth Range (Weighted Average)

Top Depth: 0

Bottom Depth: 60

Units of Measure: Inches

USDA

APPENDIX

APPENDIX J

Existing Site Plans



Detroit Water and Sewerage Department

Engineering and Design Services for Stormwater Management Practices

ETROIT DETROIT

Final Report Review Meeting

Time:	
Date:	Location:
Property:	Job No:

Name	Title	Company	Phone	E-Mail
Matthew Baka	ficsident	PAHSA	14cb 1cc	albertus 1884@gmail.com
Patrick Droze	Project Manager	OHM	313.481.1252	Pat. droze@ohm-advisors.com

C \Users\takintoroye\Dropbox\DWSD Design for Storm Water Management\Task 1\Meetings\Final Report Meeting\SignIn docx



Page 62 of 62

LAB

ivino

In association with



DWSD Capital Partnership Program – Non-Residential Customer Only

Application for Capital Partnership Funding for Stormwater Management Practices (Please fill out one form per property) Submit a completed application with your attachments at www.detroitmi.gov/drainage or email to drainage@detroitmi.gov. Alternatively, the application can be mailed to: Stormwater Management Group Detroit Water and Sewerage Department

6425 Huber St. • Detroit, MI 48211

SECTION 1 - PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION		
Property Owner:		
Mailing Address:		
City, State, Zip Code of Mailing Address:		
Phone Number(s):		
Email Address:		
Organization/Business Name (if different from Owner):		
Property Address:		
Parcel ID(s):		
DWSD Account No. (s):		
Parcel Size in Acre(s):		
Impervious Area in Acre(s):		
EIN or TIN Number:		
Current Drainage Charge Per Year:		
Expected Drainage Credit Per Year:		



DESIGNATED PROJECT MANAGER CONTACT INFORMATION		
Check if Project Manager Contact Information is the same as Property Owner		
First Name:		
Last Name:		
Mailing Address:		
City, State, Zip Code of Mailing Address:		
Contact Number(s):		
E-Mail:		

	SECTION 2 - Eligibility Criteria - Required		
1.	Is property non-residential?	🗌 Ye	s 🗌 No
2.	Is property owner willing to execute legal agreements including a Capital Partnership Agreement, Easement Agreement, and Declaration of Restrictive Covenant and obtain all necessary permits for GSI implementation?	☐ Ye	s 🗌 No
3.	Is the property owner current on their DWSD water, sewer and drainage accounts or enrolled in, and current on, their payment plan obligations?	🗌 Ye	s 🗌 No
4.	Does the property owner have any outstanding liens or overdue fees payable to other City of Detroit properties? (Please attach clearance documentation)	🗌 Ye	s 🗌 No
5.	For constructed or in progress GSI measures, was construction initiated after April 1, 2017?	🗌 Ye	s 🗌 No 🗌 N/A
6.	Is proposed GSI on environmentally constrained sites?	🗌 Ye	s 🗌 No

SEC	FION 3 - Eligibility Criteria below are not required, but will be used	to prioritize	applications
1.	Has the property owner arranged for funding their share of GSI implementation costs to date?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
	a. If no: Is Capital Partnership Program required to do so?b. If no: Is the applicant seeking referrals to potential funders?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No
2.	Has your bill always been based on the impervious area on your property?	☐ Yes	🗌 No
3.	Does your proposed project have an estimated payback period, absent funding assistance, of 5 years of more?	☐ Yes	🗌 No



4.	Do you agree to allow your project to serve as a demonstration site for targeted property classes and/or customer populations?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
5.	Will your project provide workforce development opportunities for Detroit residents?	🗌 Yes	Νο
6.	Do you own a small business with fewer than 50 employees or less than \$50 million in annual revenues?	🗌 Yes	Νο
7.	Does your proposed GSI project support City of Detroit economic revitalization / redevelopment (e.g., commercial corridor development, neighborhood stabilization/amenity)	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
8.	Are you a non-profit customer?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
SECTIO	ON 4 – PROJECT INFORMATION		

If additional space is needed to provide requested information, please attach separate sheet(s)

1. PROJECT PROPOSAL SUMMARY

TITLE OF PROPOSED PROJECT:	
TYPE OF GSI TECHNOLOGY (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY): Impervious Cover Removal Cistern Rainwater Reuse System Green Roof Blue Roof	 Porous Pavement Rain Garden/Infiltration System Detention Systems with Infiltration Capabilities Other
STORMWATER CAPTURE AND COST PER GALLON SUMMAR Total Impervious Tributary Area: Total Proposed Volume Managed: Total Project Cost/Total Proposed Volume Managed	Y \$/gal
APPROXIMATE PROJECT TIMELINE:	Weeks ¹
BUDGET SUMMARY Total Project Cost: (Include design, construction, permitting, and any other Total Requested Funds from DWSD: Other Funding Sources (if applicable): Annual Maintenance Cost	capital cost)

 $^{^{1}}$ DWSD will allow for more than one construction season if deemed necessary.



2. PROJECT DETAILS

For the proposed stormwater management practice or combination of practices, attach a project report with supporting documentation that includes:

- a. A conceptual design that provides a plan view schematic of each site with existing conditions and proposed conditions for selected/recommended BMPs.
- b. Impervious area managed
- c. Volume and peak flow managed
- d. Estimated drainage charge fee reduction
- e. Estimated project cost (design, construction and other project related capital cost)
- f. Total amount saved (estimate of credit)
- g. Net Present Value (NPV)
- h. Internal Rate of Return (IRR)
- i. Payback period
- j. GIS Plan View Figure
- k. Technical Report

3. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

STATEMENT OF AGREEMENT

If awarded, all applicants will be required to execute a **Capital Partnership Agreement**, Easement Agreement and Restrictive Covenant in a form provided by DWSD.

FIRST AND LAST PAGE OF PROPERTY DEED OR COPY OF TITLE

Attach supporting documentation.

Owner Certification and Right-Of-Entry:

I certify that the above information is true to the best of my knowledge. By signing below, I agree to allow DWSD staff or its agents to verify the information above and to visit the site if necessary.

Signature of Property Owner/Authorized Representative	By submitting on the City of Detroit website, I acknowledge the statements.
Print Name	Date

For DWSD Use only:

Property <u>approved</u> for Capital Partnership Program funding	Print Name:
Approved by (sign):	Date:
NOTES:	

DWSD Green Stormwater Infrastructure Capital Partnership Program

Agreement

This Capital Partnership Agreement ("Agreement") is made and entered into as of ______, 2018, by and between the City of Detroit (the "City"), acting through the Detroit Water and Sewerage Department ("DWSD"), having an office at 735 Randolph, Detroit, MI 48226, and ______, the property owner (the "Awardee") of the property located at

("Premises").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the DWSD established the Green Stormwater Infrastructure Capital Partnership Program ("GSI CPP") to allocate funding for qualified projects which use green infrastructure to manage stormwater runoff in the City of Detroit.

WHEREAS, this Agreement acknowledges that the Awardee submitted to DWSD a proposal to install and maintain a green infrastructure project within non-city owned property, and DWSD has determined that the Awardee's proposal will meet the GSI CPP goals and provide significant benefit to the City and has selected the Awardee's proposal for this purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties, in consideration of the mutual agreements contained herein, agree as follows:

After DWSD has accepted a Final Design and this Agreement is executed and returned to DWSD, DWSD will issue a Notice to Proceed letter. This Agreement shall be effective when the Notice to Proceed letter is issued and shall remain in effect for a period of two (2) years from the date of the Notice to Proceed letter. This Agreement may be extended in writing upon approval by DWSD.

GENERAL OBLIGATIONS:

- Awardee shall install and maintain a [insert general description of the project] (the "Project") in accordance with the more and as more fully described in the scope of work attached as Appendix # ("Scope of Work") and with the maintenance plan ("Maintenance Plan") attached as Appendix #.
- 2. Awardee shall comply with all provisions in the General Requirements, Appendix # attached to this Agreement.
- 3. Awardee shall conduct site investigations and submit designs to DWSD for review and acceptance in accordance with the instructions provided by DWSD at the time of announcement of selected Awardees. Said instructions, entitled "Awardee Guide" are hereby incorporated by reference.

- 4. Awardee shall submit a Site Safety Plan to DWSD prepared by a Licensed Professional prior to the issuance of a Notice to Proceed. All Awardee and contractor employees shall comply with all safety regulations governing the Site Safety Plan.
- 5. Awardee must obtain all necessary permits for construction and operations of the Project.
- 6. Awardee acknowledges that all plans and specifications shall be certified by a Professional Engineer, Registered Architect or Registered Landscape Architect currently licensed in the State of Michigan.

MAINTENANCE:

- 1. The maintenance period ("Maintenance Period") shall begin and shall remain in effect for a period to be determined by DWSD, beginning on the date of the Final Acceptance Letter.
- Awardee shall submit a Maintenance Plan at the time of the 90% design submittal, for review and acceptance by DWSD. The Maintenance Plan shall be for a period to be determined by DWSD and shall include all maintenance requirements including labor, equipment, materials, and frequencies, for the Maintenance Period.
- 3. Awardee agrees that during the Term of this Agreement and the Maintenance Period, it will maintain the Project and keep it in good repair with full functionality for its intended purpose. Moreover, Awardee shall ensure that the Project shall be kept free and clear of any and all obstructions that would impede the Project's proper functioning.
- 4. Quarterly and yearly maintenance reports shall be submitted for a period of thirty-six (36) months from the issuance of the Final Acceptance Letter. These reports shall be submitted to DWSD in a previously agreed upon format and transmitted electronically in MS Word or MS Excel format.

DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIVE COVENANT:

 A Declaration of Restrictive Covenant in the form provided to the Awardee by DWSD (the "Declaration") shall be filed against such property with the Office of the Wayne County Register of Deeds. It shall be a condition of disbursement of any funds pursuant hereto that such Declaration of Restrictive Covenant shall have been recorded against the Property and evidence thereof shall have been provided to DWSD.

EASEMENT:

 An Easement in the form provided to the Awardee by DWSD (the "Easement") shall be filed against such property with the Office of the Wayne County Register of Deeds. It shall be a condition of disbursement of any funds pursuant hereto that such Easement shall have been recorded against the Property and evidence thereof shall have been provided to DWSD.

MONITORING:

1. If selected to receive funds for monitoring equipment and reporting, the "Monitoring Period" shall begin and remain in effect for a period as determined by DWSD. Awardee

shall submit a monitoring protocol, at the time of the 90% design submittal, for review and acceptance by DWSD. This protocol shall include procedures for recording Project monitoring data on the monitoring database and submission of reports is the responsibility of the Awardee.

INVOICING AND REIMBURSEMENT:

- 1. DWSD shall remit to Awardee an amount not to exceed fifty thousand (50,000) dollars ("Grant Award") in full consideration for the satisfactory completion of the Project. The Grant Award shall be on a reimbursement basis.
- 2. Awardees shall submit invoices to DWSD for review and acceptance in accordance with the instructions provided by DWSD at the time of announcement of selected Awardees.
- 3. Funding provided pursuant to this Agreement shall be used only to pay third-party vendors for eligible capital expenses. Funds shall not be used to pay or reimburse Awardee for its internal costs, including but not limited to its employee salaries, overhead or any form of administrative fees.
- 4. Invoices must include eligible costs and expenses where monies have been paid to third parties.
- 5. All payments shall be made to the Awardee unless the Awardee provides to DWSD a valid assignment which authorizes a third-party contractor to receive payment under this Agreement.
- 6. Requests for advance payments will not be approved.
- 7. DWSD shall only reimburse Awardee for payments that, in DWSD's sole discretion, are for the construction or reconstruction of a capital asset under generally accepted accounting principles and are eligible for payment with City capital funds, pursuant to all laws, regulations, and directive governing the payment of such funds.

PROJECT CLOSE-OUT:

- 1. Awardee shall provide a Certification of Construction Completion at the close of the Project stating that the Project was built in accordance with accepted plans and specifications.
- 2. DWSD shall issue a Final Acceptance Letter after the Project has been inspected and the final As-Built records have been submitted by the Awardee.
- 3. DWSD will not reimburse the last invoice until the Final Acceptance Letter has been issued.

PRESS AND NOTICES:

1. The Awardee and its officers, officers, employees, and agents shall indicate in any statements to the press or in any materials for publication in any media of communication (print, news, television, radio, Internet, etc.) that the Project was funded in part by DWSD as part of the CPP.

2. All notices to be sent in accordance with this Agreements shall be sent to the following addresses:

If to DWSD:

735 Randolph St. Detroit, MI 48226

If to Awardee:

In WITNESS THEREOF, the individuals listed below are authorized to sign and execute this Agreement between their respective Agencies and Organizations, on the date appearing below their respective signatures.

The City of Detroit Water and Sewerage Department

Ву:	
Title:	
AWARDEE	
Ву:	
Title:	
Approved as to Form:	

DWSD General Counsel